



23 December 2005

RFP Manufacturing Pty. Limited  
862 Ramsden Drive  
Albury NSW 2640

Attention: David Robinson.  
Dear Dave,

I refer to the LabMark Certificate of Analysis, report number E024785, issued on 21 December 2005. The Certificate of Analysis contained laboratory results for the following soil samples under your treatment evaluation:

- Original Sand "TN0" (blank sample),
- Sand & Oil "TN1" (blank sample that had been spiked with hydraulic oil),
- Sand Post Test "TN3" (blank sample that had been spiked with hydraulic oil after processing).

The Certificate of Analysis also contained laboratory QA/QC results (lcs and mb) which indicate acceptable laboratory system control during the sample analysis.

Laboratory analysis indicated that the RFP Manufacturing prepared clean sand sample had been spiked with 33,260mg/kg hydraulic oil "TN1". The post "recoverit" treatment sample "TN3" did not show traces of hydraulic oil concentration above the reported laboratory detection limits. This result indicates that the treatment would be expected to have removed the hydraulic oil originally spiked in the clean sand sample.

It is noted that LabMark was not involved in the sample spike preparation, or the operation of the treatment process. The determination of soil testing was performed on an as received basis, after RFP Manufacturing Pty. Limited had delivered the samples to the LabMark South Melbourne laboratory for analysis. All sample descriptions were provided by RFP Manufacturing Pty. Limited via the chain of custody documentation, which is attached to the final laboratory report. Please do not hesitate to call if you have any further queries regarding the information contained in this quotation.

Yours sincerely,  
LabMark Pty. Limited.

David Burns  
LabMark Pty. Limited  
Director  
Email: [david.burns@labmark.com.au](mailto:david.burns@labmark.com.au)